

# Santander Consumer Finance, S.A.

Update

## Key Rating Drivers

**Strong Franchise, Resilient Profits:** SCF’s ratings reflect a strong consumer finance franchise, sound earnings generation capacity through economic cycles, and adequate capitalisation. Its funding is stable but largely reliant on wholesale sources, and its capitalisation benefits from ordinary support from Banco Santander S.A. (A-/Stable). Asset quality is fairly stable despite the cyclical nature of its business, aided by the bank’s exposure to highly-rated countries, a large share of lower-risk secured consumer lending and sound risk controls.

**Shareholder Support Underpins IDRs:** SCF’s Issuer Default Ratings (IDR) are driven by its intrinsic strength and underpinned by potential shareholder support (Shareholder Support Rating (SSR) of ‘a-’). We believe the bank’s parent has strong incentives to support SCF, as it is core and integral to the group, manages most of Banco Santander’s consumer finance operations in Europe, and falls under the same resolution group.

**Leading European Consumer Franchise:** SCF has a leading franchise in European consumer finance and ranks among the top peers in the markets in which it operates. The bank’s business model is concentrated in consumer finance with reliance on a few auto manufacturers. However, it benefits from geographical diversification with a bias towards strong northern European countries, adequate product distribution and customer granularity.

**Well-Managed Risks:** SCF’s focus on auto lending, which we view as lower risk than the unsecured consumer business, results in a moderate risk appetite. It has tight underwriting standards and sound risk controls, which together with geographical diversification mitigate risks, resulting in stable asset quality through cycles.

**Stable Asset Quality:** SCF’s asset-quality metrics have remained resilient in the past few years, partly aided by recurrent write-offs. It has an impaired loan ratio of around 2% and a high reserve coverage ratio. Loan impairment charges/gross loans increased in 2023 from relatively low levels in the last two years, and we expect them to be stay close to structural levels in 2024-2025, considering the heightened economic risks across SCF’s geographies.

**Resilient Operating Profitability:** SCF’s franchise, adequate risk-pricing and operating efficiency result in resilient operating profitability. Operating profit has been an average 2.5% of risk-weighted assets (RWAs) over the past decade, with limited volatility. This fell to 2.2% in 2023, as assets repriced slower than liabilities. We expect operating profit to recover to 2.5% of RWAs in the medium term, as rates of new loans increase and the share of customer deposits rises in funding, partially offset by higher operating expenses.

**Adequate Capitalisation:** Capital ratios are adequate for SCF’s risk profile and have satisfactory buffers over regulatory requirements. Capitalisation is supported by strong earnings generation and ordinary support from the parent. We expect the bank to maintain a common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio of 12%-12.5% in the medium term.

**Wholesale-Based Funding:** SCF’s funding structure is stable. Wholesale funding comprises unsecured and secured debt and intragroup funding. SCF raises deposits (about 40% of total funding at end-2023), mainly through its northern European subsidiaries, and is planning to increase its deposit base to reduce the cost of funding. SCF has well-established access to the capital markets and would be able to increase intragroup funding if needed.

Banks  
Retail & Consumer Banks  
Spain

## Ratings

Foreign Currency	
Long-Term IDR	A-
Short-Term IDR	F2

Viability Rating	a-
------------------	----

Shareholder Support Rating	a-
----------------------------	----

## Sovereign Risk (Spain)

Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR	A-
Long-Term Local-Currency IDR	A-
Country Ceiling	AAA

## Outlooks

Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR	Stable
Sovereign Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR	Stable
Sovereign Long-Term Local-Currency IDR	Stable

## Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(March 2024\)](#)

## Related Research

- [Global Economic Outlook \(June 2024\)](#)
- [Santander Consumer Finance \(November 2023\)](#)
- [Fitch Affirms Santander Consumer Finance at ‘A-’; Outlook Stable \(November 2023\)](#)

## Analysts

Valeria Pasto  
+39 02 9475 8304  
[valeria.pasto@fitchratings.com](mailto:valeria.pasto@fitchratings.com)

Danel Izqueaga  
+34 91 076 1988  
[danel.izqueaga@fitchratings.com](mailto:danel.izqueaga@fitchratings.com)

## Rating Sensitivities

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

SCF's IDR's would be downgraded if Banco Santander's IDR's or SCF's Viability Rating (VR) are downgraded.

The most likely trigger for a SCF's VR downgrade would be the bank's inability to maintain its good earnings generation, resulting in an operating profit structurally below 2% of RWAs. This could stem from a permanent deterioration in its revenue (ie prolonged lower business activity or loss of captive agreements), higher-than-expected credit risks or an inability to manage its cost of funding as planned.

A downgrade of Banco Santander's VR, and hence its Long-Term IDR, would likely trigger a downgrade of SCF's VR, due to close links between the two banks in the form of operational integration, intragroup funding and the parent bank's policy to upstream subsidiaries' capital through dividends.

SCF's SSR would be downgraded if Banco Santander's IDR is downgraded, if the consumer finance segment becomes less strategic for the group or if SCF becomes significantly less integrated, which Fitch does not expect.

### Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

Positive rating action would require an upgrade of Banco Santander's IDR's, which in turn is contingent on an upgrade of Spain's sovereign ratings, resulting in a better assessment of the group's operating environment. An upgrade of SCF's VR is currently unlikely, due to its business profile and concentrated business model.

## Other Debt and Issuer Ratings

Rating Level	Rating
Deposits	A/F1
Senior preferred debt	A/F1

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions

SCF's long-term senior preferred debt and deposit ratings are rated one notch above SCF's and Banco Santander's Long-Term IDR's to reflect the protection that accrues from buffers of junior and senior non-preferred debt in the resolution group. Fitch estimates that the buffer of qualifying junior debt and senior non-preferred debt exceeds 10% of RWAs (after deconsolidating subsidiaries that are in different resolution groups) on a sustained basis.

The short-term senior preferred debt and deposit ratings of 'F1' benefit from equivalent long-term senior debt and deposit ratings being notched up from the IDR's to reflect protection.

## Significant Changes from Last Review

### 2023 Results in line With Expectations

The bank reported an operating profit at 2.2% of RWAs in 2023, down from 2.9% in 2022, but in line with our expectations. The reduction in operating income was driven by a 4% drop in net interest income due to an anticipated increase in the cost of funding. However, we expect this trend to reverse in 2024 as assets start to reprice at higher rates. Costs rose 8%, which together with weaker operating income, translated into a higher cost/income ratio at 47% in 2023 (42% in 2022). Loan impairment charges increased to structural levels of around 60bp of gross loans, slightly below our forecast.

The bank's business volumes rose 8% year-on-year, helped by a new agreement with Stellantis and a recovery in auto sales in 2023. We expect this trend to continue in 2024-2025 and to support the bank's profitability. The bank's customer deposits also increased strongly by 18%, reflecting successful execution of its strategy to expand its deposit base and reduce cost of funding.

## Ratings Navigator

## Santander Consumer Finance, S.A.

ESG Relevance:

Banks  
Ratings Navigator

Operating Environment	Business Profile	Risk Profile	Financial Profile				Implied Viability Rating	Viability Rating	Shareholder Support Rating	Issuer Default Rating
			Asset Quality	Earnings & Profitability	Capitalisation & Leverage	Funding & Liquidity				
	20%	10%	20%	15%	25%	10%				
aaa							aaa	aaa	aaa	AAA
aa+							aa+	aa+	aa+	AA+
aa							aa	aa	aa	AA
aa-							aa-	aa-	aa-	AA-
a+							a+	a+	a+	A+
a							a	a	a	A
a-							a-	a-	a-	A- Sta
bbb+							bbb+	bbb+	bbb+	BBB+
bbb							bbb	bbb	bbb	BBB
bbb-							bbb-	bbb-	bbb-	BBB-
bb+							bb+	bb+	bb+	BB+
bb							bb	bb	bb	BB
bb-							bb-	bb-	bb-	BB-
b+							b+	b+	b+	B+
b							b	b	b	B
b-							b-	b-	b-	B-
ccc+							ccc+	ccc+	ccc+	CCC+
ccc							ccc	ccc	ccc	CCC
ccc-							ccc-	ccc-	ccc-	CCC-
cc							cc	cc	cc	CC
c							c	c	c	C
f							f	f	ns	D or RD

The Key Rating Driver (KRD) weightings used to determine the implied VR are shown as percentages at the top. In cases where the implied VR is adjusted upwards or downwards to arrive at the VR, the KRD associated with the adjustment reason is highlighted in red. The shaded areas indicate the benchmark-implied scores for each KRD.

## VR - Adjustments to Key Rating Drivers

The 'a-' operating environment score is in line with the 'a' implied score. International operations were identified as a relevant positive factor in the assessment.

The 'a-' business profile score is above the 'bbb' implied score due to the following adjustment reason: group benefits and risks (positive).

The 'a-' capitalisation & leverage score is above the 'bbb' implied score due to the following adjustment reason: capital flexibility and ordinary support (positive).

The 'bbb' funding & liquidity score is above the 'b & below' implied score due to the following adjustment reasons: non-deposit funding (positive), ordinary support (positive).

## Financials

### Financial Statements

	31 Dec 23		31 Dec 22	31 Dec 21	31 Dec 20
	Year end (USDm)	Year end (EURm)	Year end (EURm)	Year end (EURm)	Year end (EURm)
	Audited - unqualified	Audited - unqualified	Audited - unqualified	Audited - unqualified	Audited - unqualified
<b>Summary income statement</b>					
Net interest and dividend income	3,753	3,425.4	3,571.4	3,558.3	3,481.7
Net fees and commissions	799	729.3	783.5	761.5	711.3
Other operating income	407	371.1	291.3	122.8	74.6
Total operating income	4,958	4,525.8	4,646.2	4,442.6	4,267.6
Operating costs	2,294	2,093.4	1,945.4	1,855.2	1,811.4
Pre-impairment operating profit	2,665	2,432.4	2,700.8	2,587.4	2,456.2
Loan and other impairment charges	749	683.8	451.9	495.1	825.2
Operating profit	1,916	1,748.6	2,248.9	2,092.3	1,631.0
Other non-operating items (net)	57	52.1	-41.0	-68.4	-344.0
Tax	525	479.5	606.3	533.2	523.3
Net income	1,448	1,321.2	1,601.6	1,490.7	763.7
Other comprehensive income	-111	-100.9	58.0	61.8	-175.5
Fitch comprehensive income	1,337	1,220.3	1,659.6	1,552.5	588.2
<b>Summary balance sheet</b>					
<b>Assets</b>					
Gross loans	128,888	117,641.7	108,455.9	101,674.8	99,637.9
- Of which impaired	2,753	2,512.9	2,180.0	2,033.1	2,026.9
Loan loss allowances	2,337	2,133.3	1,956.1	2,115.2	2,197.4
Net loans	126,551	115,508.4	106,499.8	99,559.6	97,440.5
Interbank	1,499	1,367.8	342.8	363.6	551.8
Derivatives	692	631.8	916.6	126.8	79.0
Other securities and earning assets	5,761	5,258.0	7,727.1	5,502.9	5,980.7
Total earning assets	134,502	122,766.0	115,486.3	105,552.9	104,052.0
Cash and cash due from banks	12,357	11,278.5	6,826.2	18,965.1	10,316.8
Other assets	10,192	9,303.0	7,967.2	6,413.2	5,665.8
Total assets	157,051	143,347.5	130,279.7	130,931.2	120,034.6
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Customer deposits	53,412	48,751.7	41,327.3	39,088.5	37,500.9
Interbank and other short-term funding	36,909	33,688.0	35,760.0	36,465.3	15,999.7
Other long-term funding	42,893	39,150.6	32,616.6	35,964.8	47,730.4
Trading liabilities and derivatives	859	783.9	659.8	186.8	205.6
Total funding and derivatives	134,073	122,374.2	110,363.7	111,705.4	101,436.6
Other liabilities	7,225	6,594.6	5,723.8	5,831.5	4,691.0
Preference shares and hybrid capital	1,315	1,200.0	1,200.0	1,200.0	1,200.0
Total equity	14,439	13,178.7	12,992.2	12,194.3	12,707.0
Total liabilities and equity	157,051	143,347.5	130,279.7	130,931.2	120,034.6
Exchange rate		USD1 = EUR0.912742	USD1 = EUR0.937559	USD1 = EUR0.884173	USD1 = EUR0.821963

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, Santander Consumer Finance, S.A.

## Key Ratios

	31 Dec 23	31 Dec 22	31 Dec 21	31 Dec 20
<b>Ratios (annualised as appropriate)</b>				
<b>Profitability (%)</b>				
Operating profit/RWAs	2.2	2.9	2.9	2.3
Net interest income/average earning assets	2.9	3.3	3.4	3.4
Non-interest expense/gross revenue	47.1	42.8	42.4	43.2
Net income/average equity	10.2	12.7	11.9	6.1
<b>Asset quality (%)</b>				
Impaired loans ratio	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0
Growth in gross loans	8.5	6.7	2.0	-0.6
Loan loss allowances/impaired loans	84.9	89.7	104.0	108.4
Loan impairment charges/average gross loans	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.8
<b>Capitalisation (%)</b>				
CET1 ratio	12.5	12.5	12.6	13.2
Basel leverage ratio	8.5	8.9	9.4	8.9
Net impaired loans/CET1	3.8	2.3	-0.9	-1.9
<b>Funding and liquidity (%)</b>				
Gross loans/customer deposits	241.3	262.4	260.1	265.7
Liquidity coverage ratio	115.0	240.0	319.0	314.0
Customer deposits/total non-equity funding	39.7	37.3	34.7	36.6
Net stable funding ratio	103.0	109.0	115.0	114.0

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, Santander Consumer Finance, S.A.

## Support Assessment

Shareholder Support	
Parent IDR	A-
Total Adjustments (notches)	0
Shareholder Support Rating	a-
Shareholder ability to support	
Shareholder Rating	A-/ Stable
Shareholder regulation	Equalised
Relative size	Equalised
Country risks	Equalised
Shareholder propensity to support	
Role in group	Equalised
Reputational risk	Equalised
Integration	Equalised
Support record	1 Notch
Subsidiary performance and prospects	Equalised
Legal commitments	2+ Notches

The colours indicate the weighting of each KRD in the assessment.

Higher influence Moderate influence Lower influence

SCF's SSR of 'a-' is in line with Banco Santander's Long-Term IDR of 'A-' to reflect our view that SCF is core and integral to the group, resulting in an extremely high probability of support. Our assessment of shareholder support also considers that the parent and SCF operate in the same jurisdiction, are subject to the same regulations, and belong to the same resolution group in Spain. The high integration of SCF's operations, risk management and controls with those of Banco Santander, as well as SCF's long and successful record of supporting group objectives, also contribute to our overall support assessment.

Subsidiaries and Affiliates

Santander Consumer Bank AG’s are driven by its VR and supported by Fitch’s view of the strong support available from the ultimate parent, Banco Santander, potentially channelled via its intermediate parent SCF. Santander Consumer Bank’s ratings are driven by support from the parent company.

Main Subsidiaries

Rating Level	Santander Consumer Bank AG	Santander Consumer Bank AS
Long-Term IDR	A-/Stable	A-/Stable
Short-Term IDR	F2	F2
Viability Rating	a-	bbb+
Shareholder Support Rating	a-	a-

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions

## Environmental, Social and Governance Considerations

### FitchRatings Santander Consumer Finance, S.A. Banks Ratings Navigator

#### Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation

Santander Consumer Finance, S.A. has 5 ESG potential rating drivers ➔ Santander Consumer Finance, S.A. has exposure to compliance risks including fair lending practices, mis-selling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security) but this has very low impact on the rating. ➔ Governance is minimally relevant to the rating and is not currently a driver.	key driver	0	issues	5	
	driver	0	issues	4	
	potential driver	5	issues	3	
	not a rating driver	5	issues	2	
		4	issues	1	

#### Environmental (E) Relevance Scores

General Issues	E Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference	E Relevance	
GHG Emissions & Air Quality	2	n.a.	n.a.	5	
Energy Management	1	n.a.	n.a.	4	
Water & Wastewater Management	1	n.a.	n.a.	3	
Waste & Hazardous Materials Management; Ecological Impacts	1	n.a.	n.a.	2	
Exposure to Environmental Impacts	2	Impact of extreme weather events on assets and/or operations and corresponding risk appetite & management; catastrophe risk; credit concentrations	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile; Asset Quality	1	

**How to Read This Page**  
 ESG relevance scores range from 1 to 5 based on a 15-level color gradation. Red (5) is most relevant to the credit rating and green (1) is least relevant.

**The Environmental (E), Social (S) and Governance (G) tables** break out the ESG general issues and the sector-specific issues that are most relevant to each industry group. Relevance scores are assigned to each sector-specific issue, signaling the credit-relevance of the sector-specific issues to the issuer's overall credit rating. The Criteria Reference column highlights the factor(s) within which the corresponding ESG issues are captured in Fitch's credit analysis. The vertical color bars are visualizations of the frequency of occurrence of the highest constituent relevance scores. They do not represent an aggregate of the relevance scores or aggregate ESG credit relevance.

**The Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation table's** far right column is a visualization of the frequency of occurrence of the highest ESG relevance scores across the combined E, S and G categories. The three columns to the left of ESG Relevance to Credit Rating summarize rating relevance and impact to credit from ESG issues. The box on the far left identifies any ESG Relevance Sub-factor issues that are drivers or potential drivers of the issuer's credit rating (corresponding with scores of 3, 4 or 5) and provides a brief explanation for the relevance score. All scores of '4' and '5' are assumed to result in a negative impact unless indicated with a '+' sign for positive impact. Scores of 3, 4 or 5) and provides a brief explanation for the score.

**Classification** of ESG issues has been developed from Fitch's sector ratings criteria. The General Issues and Sector-Specific Issues draw on the classification standards published by the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI), the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), and the World Bank.

#### Social (S) Relevance Scores

General Issues	S Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference	S Relevance	
Human Rights, Community Relations, Access & Affordability	2	Services for underbanked and underserved communities; SME and community development programs; financial literacy programs	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile	5	
Customer Welfare - Fair Messaging, Privacy & Data Security	3	Compliance risks including fair lending practices, mis-selling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security)	Operating Environment; Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile	4	
Labor Relations & Practices	2	Impact of labor negotiations, including board/employee compensation and composition	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance)	3	
Employee Wellbeing	1	n.a.	n.a.	2	
Exposure to Social Impacts	2	Shift in social or consumer preferences as a result of an institution's social positions, or social and/or political disapproval of core banking practices	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Financial Profile	1	

#### Governance (G) Relevance Scores

General Issues	G Score	Sector-Specific Issues	Reference	G Relevance		CREDIT-RELEVANT ESG SCALE How relevant are E, S and G issues to the overall credit rating?
Management Strategy	3	Operational implementation of strategy	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance)	5		5 Highly relevant, a key rating driver that has a significant impact on the rating on an individual basis. Equivalent to "higher" relative importance within Navigator.
Governance Structure	3	Board independence and effectiveness; ownership concentration; protection of creditor/stakeholder rights; legal/compliance risks; business continuity; key person risk; related party transactions	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Earnings & Profitability; Capitalisation & Leverage	4		4 Relevant to rating, not a key rating driver but has an impact on the rating in combination with other factors. Equivalent to "moderate" relative importance within Navigator.
Group Structure	3	Organizational structure; appropriateness relative to business model; opacity; intra-group dynamics; ownership	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance)	3		3 Minimally relevant to rating, either very low impact or actively managed in a way that results in no impact on the entity rating. Equivalent to "lower" relative importance within Navigator.
Financial Transparency	3	Quality and frequency of financial reporting and auditing processes	Business Profile (incl. Management & governance)	2		2 Irrelevant to the entity rating but relevant to the sector.
				1		1 Irrelevant to the entity rating and irrelevant to the sector.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.



## SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

## DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.